

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	l I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,593 08/27/2003		08/27/2003	Herbert O. Ledebohm	019680-005800US	7921
20350	7590	09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
		TOWNSEND AN	PEIKARI, BEHZAD		
EIGHTH FI		RO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	CISCO,	CA 94111-3834		2189	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 		······································	LA			
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summan	10/650,593	LEDEBOHM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	B. James Peikari	2189			
Period fo		nion appears on the cover sheet w	nn die con espondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nasions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>27 August 2003</u> .				
·	•	This action is non-final.				
3)□	,					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 and 27 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 23-26 is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003	$\underline{3}$ is/are: a) $igtiz$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ ot	bjected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do					
		ocuments have been received in A				
	· ·	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
• .	application from the Internationa					
- (See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/650,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. The specification is objected to because new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should be replaced with: "DYNAMICALLY CREATING OR REMOVING A PHYSICAL-TO-VIRTUAL ADDRESS MAP IN A MEMORY OF A PERIPHERAL DEVICE".
- 2. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

MPEP 2106 makes available suggested language to make computer program products statutory (and, alternatively, language to make computer program processes statutory):

"computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or

Art Unit: 2189

expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Accordingly, it is important to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions.

Computer programs are often recited as part of a claim. Office personnel should determine whether the computer program is being claimed as part of an otherwise statutory manufacture or machine. In such a case, the claim remains statutory irrespective of the fact that a computer program is included in the claim. The same result occurs when a computer program is used in a computerized process where the computer executes the instructions set forth in the computer program. Only when the claimed invention taken as a whole is directed to a mere program listing, i.e., to only its description or expression, is it descriptive material per se and hence nonstatutory.

Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material. When a computer program is claimed in a process where the computer is executing the computer program's instructions, Office personnel should treat the claim as a process claim... When a computer program is recited in conjunction with a physical structure, such as a computer memory, Office personnel should treat the claim as a product claim."

The suggested language for product claims has been highlighted in boldface type above. Claims 23-26 are product claims that are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of applicant's disclosure in paragraph [0093], the claimed medium is not limited to a tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., compact disk, DVD, flash memory) and intangible embodiments (e.g., carrier signals). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is

Application/Control Number: 10/650,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

therefore non-statutory. Therefore, the examiner suggests that the claim or specification must be amended to limit the "medium" to a tangible element. For example, in claim 27, the claimed product *necessarily* includes hardware, namely the "peripheral device", which is tangible.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-22 and 27 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2189

9/18/05